

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Montana

United States of America

v.

Mark Ernest Spani

Date of Original Judgment: 04/20/2023

Date of Previous Amended Judgment: _____

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

Case No: CR 22-24-BU-DLC

USM No: 02164-046

Defendant's Attorney

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____.

(See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

Defendant filed a second motion seeking a sentence reduction under the retroactive application to the criminal history rules in Part B, subpart 1 of Amendment 821 to the United States Sentencing Guidelines. (See Doc. 162.) As stated in the order denying his previous motion, Defendant is ineligible.

Part B, subpart 1 provides a two-level offense level decrease for offenders who did not receive any criminal history points under Chapter Four and whose instant offense did not involve specific aggravating factors. See USSG Ret. App. A & B, Sub. 1 Amendment 821 "Reasons for Amendment" (Nov. 1, 2023). Defendant's prior convictions resulted in a criminal history score of one, not zero. (PSR ¶ 72.) Because Defendant is clearly ineligible for a sentence reduction for zero-point offenders under the retroactive application of Amendment 821, his motion is again denied.

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated _____ shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 7/30/2024



Dana L. Christensen
Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

Dana L. Christensen, District Court Judge

Printed name and title